

### **REMARKS**

Applicants gracefully acknowledge the allowance of Claims 1, 2, 5-9, and 14. However. Applicants wish to correct minor editorial problems by amending Claims 3, 10, 15, and 16. In light of the amendments as well as the remarks set forth below, allowance of all pending claims is respectfully requested.

#### **Rejection of Claims 3, 4, 10, 15, and 16 under 35 U.S.C 112, Second Paragraph**

Claim 3 has been canceled.

Examiner suggests Applicants to include peaks of Figure 2 and 4 in Claims 4 and 15 instead of referring to the figures since they are not present in the claims. Applicants wish to thank Examiner for the suggestion but are bit confused whether this suggestion is also an rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. According to MPEP 2173.05(s), incorporation by reference to a specific figure is clearly permitted “where it is more concise to incorporate by reference than duplicating a drawing [] into the claim.” Herein, Applicants wish to claim the figure as a limitation and it would be difficult to recite the figures themselves in the claims. Thus, incorporation by reference of Figures 2 and 4 in Claims 4 and 14 could not be a ground for 112 rejection. Thus, Applicants wish respectfully not to accept Examiner’s suggestion (if it is), or to traverse if it is a rejection.

In addition, Claim 15 has been amended to show its correct dependency from Claim 14.

Claim 10 was rejected as vague and indefinite due to its term “low molecular.” Claim 10 has been amended to clarify the term “low molecular” to “low molecular weight” as Examiner suggested.

Claim 16 has been amended to correct the grammatical error of “an alcoholic solvents.” Claim 16 is now correctly stated with “an alcoholic solvent.”


In light of the amendments made the claims and remarks stated above, it is believed that Claims 4, 10, 15, and 16 are allowable forms. Thus it is respectfully requested that all rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph be withdrawn. It is also noted that all amendments made herein are to correct editorial error and does not alter or narrow the scope of the claims.

Objections of Claims 11-13 and 17

Claims 11-13 and 17 were objected as being dependent to the rejected claims. As stated above, it is believed that all claims from which claims 11-13 and 17 depend are now allowable forms. Thus, it is respectfully requested that all objections be withdrawn.

It is believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. In the event that anything is unclear, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned counsel at (908) 203-6500.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward D. Pergament

Reg. No. 43,346